

AF JW



**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
EXAMINING GROUP 2838**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named  
Inventor : Kevin I. Bertness

Appln. No.: 10/681,666

Filed : October 8, 2003

For : ELECTRONIC BATTERY TESTER WITH  
PROBE LIGHT

Docket No.: C382.12-0169

Group Art Unit: 2838

Examiner: Edward H. Tso

**RESPONSE AFTER FINAL**

**Mail Stop AF**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS  
BEING SENT BY U.S. MAIL, FIRST CLASS, TO  
THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O.  
BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, THIS

3<sup>rd</sup> DAY OF OCTOBER,  
2006.

*A Rego*  
PATENT ATTORNEY

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated August 9, 2006. In the Office Action, all pending claims 1-27 were rejected. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims.

On Page 2 of the Office Action, claims 1-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertness (US 6,316,914) in view of Applicant's own admitted prior art on page 11.

Claim 1 includes "a probe light configured to couple to at least one of the first and second Kelvin connections, the probe light having a longitudinal axis that is oriented generally toward an end, of one of the first and second Kelvin connections, that couples to one of the first and second terminals of the battery."

The Office Action first acknowledges that the cited prior art does not show the above-noted feature of claim 1, but goes on to state that separate lighting equipment and battery testers